



This is not an ADB material. The views expressed in this document are the views of the author/s and/or their organizations and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of the material's contents, and accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect consequence of their use or reliance, whether wholly or partially. Please feel free to contact the authors directly should you have queries.

## Shareholders: Investors or Activists

---

Jane Fuller FSIP

# Shareholders: investors or activists

**An investor commits capital with an expectation of a financial return**

- Equity investor, shareholder: owner not a lender
- Holds the riskiest type of security, at the back of the queue of creditors in an insolvency
- Ownership implies control but only over the shares owned; with some rights e.g., a vote
- To control the company, you need a majority of the shares – risk of being in a minority, wishes ignored

***Don't forget: debt may convert to equity in a crisis***

# Some shares are more equal than others

## Most investors prefer one share, one vote

- Dual class shares: one class (A or B) has more voting rights; economic interest separated from say in governance
- A way for founder to keep control
  - > eg Snap sold shares with no voting rights in its IPO
  - > eg Ken Moelis has 10 votes per share
- As with small free floats, can mean exclusion from indices
- France's Florange Law: double voting rights for long-term (two-year) holders
  - a reward or protectionist?
  - < a way for the state or national champions to keep control
  - < puts off other investors as they will be diluted

*Should incur a discount but high growth induces leniency*

# Different Types of Shareholders - Passive Investor

- You own a basket of shares that mirror an index  
e.g., 100 pieces of the FTSE 100; automated choice
- An economic interest only, no direct vote
- Voting and stewardship delegated to fund manager
- The fund manager has few resources for governance
- It may delegate voting to a proxy  
e.g., Institutional Shareholder Services – ISS
- ISS says it is a leader in corporate governance *and responsible investment*

***Minimum thought, no direct engagement***

# No Interest in Governance?

- Even a passive investor relies on company law and corporate governance rules and codes
- Compliance with CG codes may be part of a stock exchange's listing requirements
- In 2013 Alibaba decided against listing in Hong Kong because of the one share, one vote principle
- Passive investors may avoid markets where governance rules are lax; or demand a higher return
- Indices also have rules e.g., Snapchat's exclusion

***A weak governance regime raises the cost of capital***

# Case for Active Engagement by Passive Investors

- They cannot sell: so what to do about a problem?
- Talk to the board, “engage”  
e.g., on succession, pay, choice of auditors, or other governance issues
- Large providers of index funds  
e.g., BlackRock invests in governance
- Increased use of ESG – environmental, social and governance screens in “passive” investment

***Concept of stewardship, responsible ownership, applies***

# Active Investors

- Active choice to buy, sell or hold shares
- Incorporate governance and other non-financial factors into valuation; discount for poor governance
- Sometimes fund managers, other buyers of shares have different views to the governance experts
- Incentive to engage e.g., in a turnaround, or over strategic issue, but can signal just by selling
- Special interest investors e.g., focus on climate change
  - > Avoid or engage?

***Active is not the same as “ACTIVIST”***

# Activist Investors

- Buy a (small) stake with a view to effecting change
- Company is vulnerable e.g., poor share price performance; management has failed to deliver
- Activist will often push for board changes, may get a seat on the board
- Aim is to change strategy or improve performance

## Examples

- Easy win at GE
- Rebuff at P&G, BHP, ADP
- In between at Credit Suisse



# Stewardship – What does it mean?

- A person / firm employed to manage and look after another's property – the principal / agent issue
- UK Financial Reporting Council's Stewardship Code:
  - “Stewardship aims to promote the long term success of companies in such a way that the ultimate providers of capital also prosper. Effective stewardship benefits companies, investors and the economy as a whole.”
- Holding executive management to account: applies to non-executive (independent) directors and shareholders

***Stewardship may be linked to public policy – interests other than shareholders e.g., staff, suppliers, environment***

# Different Ownership Models; Different Pressures

- **Companies with a dominant shareholder:**

- Founder-owner-manager
  - Family dominance
  - State control / influence
  - Private equity involvement
  - Cross-holdings e.g., by big company in suppliers
- Different time horizons: long-term versus short-term
  - Different motives : improve company's performance; enrich self; maintain family control; social impact

***Pass on assets in a better state than when you entered***

# Involvement in decision-making

- Voting – what is a protest vote?
- UK: companies receiving 20%-plus vote against must explain how they addressed concerns
- Pressure ‘behind closed doors’ by big shareholders
- Public calls for change from governance teams, e.g., on exposure to climate change risk
- Limits: fragmented shareholder base, small stakes; limited resources – which issues to pick?

***Investors don't want to run the company but to be confident in the agents they have chosen to do so***



## Q&A Session

---